

## Mineral Survey No. 5103, Nevada

FEET

The line from the cor. of secs. 8, 9, 16, and 17 to the  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. cor. of secs. 9 and 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be S.  $83^{\circ}32'30''$  E., 2519.09 ft. dist., instead of S.  $83^{\circ}33'$  E., 2523.84 ft. dist., as approved.

The line from the  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. cor. of secs. 9 and 16 to the E  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of secs. 9 and 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be N.  $89^{\circ}00'39''$  E., 1327.44 ft. dist., instead of N.  $89^{\circ}01'$  E., 1335.84 ft. dist., as approved.

The line from the E  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of secs. 9 and 16 to the cor. of secs. 9, 10, 15, and 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be N.  $89^{\circ}00'08''$  E., 1341.19 ft. dist., instead of N.  $89^{\circ}01'$  E., 1335.84 ft. dist., as approved.

The line from the cor. of secs. 9, 10, 15, and 16 to the N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of secs. 15 and 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be S.  $0^{\circ}26'21''$  E., 1308.90 ft. dist., instead of S.  $0^{\circ}27'$  E., 1303.50 ft. dist., as approved.

The line from the N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 15 and 16 to the point for the NE  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be N.  $89^{\circ}07'08''$  W., 1332.22 ft. dist., not monumented.

The line from the point for the NE  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16 to the C-N  $\frac{1}{16}$  cor. of sec. 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be N.  $89^{\circ}07'08''$  W., 1326.06 ft. dist.

The line from the C-N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16 to the N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of secs. 16 and 17, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be N.  $85^{\circ}37'52''$  W., 2528.81 ft. dist., instead of N.  $85^{\circ}38'46''$  W., 2529.09 ft. dist., as reported in "Record of Survey", Humboldt County, Nevada, "Section 16, T38N, R42E MDM", File No. 227252, dated November 4, 1982.

The line from the N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of secs. 16 and 17, to the cor. of secs. 8, 9, 16, and 17, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be N.  $0^{\circ}05'05''$  W., 1312.41 ft. dist., instead of N.  $0^{\circ}08'$  W., 1305.48 ft. dist., as approved.

The line from the  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. cor. of secs. 9 and 16 to the C-N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be S.  $0^{\circ}57'07''$  E., 1221.87 ft. dist., instead of S.  $0^{\circ}58'37''$  E., 1221.82 ft. dist., as reported in Record of Survey, hereinbefore described.

The line from the C-N  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16 to the C  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be S.  $0^{\circ}59'18''$  E., 1221.68 ft. dist., instead of S.  $0^{\circ}58'37''$  E., 1221.82 ft. dist., as reported in Record of Survey, hereinbefore described.

The line from the C  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16 to the C-E  $\frac{1}{16}$  sec. cor. of sec. 16, T. 38 N., R. 42 E., MDM, was found to be S.  $87^{\circ}26'09''$  E., 1325.06 ft. dist., instead of S.  $87^{\circ}26'43''$  E., 1325.07 ft. dist., as reported in Record of Survey, hereinbefore described.